

Original Article

Parallel algorithm for listing combinatorial of $C(n,r)$

Nguyen Dinh Lau*

Department of Information Technology, University of Education and Science, University of Danang, Vietnam

ABSTRACT

This paper aims at constructing listing combinatorial Algorithms of $C(n,r)$. $C(n,r)$ is replaced by Cnr . This is the most common and appealing problem in discrete maths. The Cnr listing combinatorial problem is solved by exploiting many different techniques: Using generation and backtracking. The most possible complexity is $O(r.Cnr)$. In, an attempt was made to find the subset of k elements in the set of m elements. The main content in the paper is based on the generation combinatorial algorithm of a smaller set. This will reduce the computation time as compared to the initial set n and r . The complexity is $\text{Max}\{O(\text{int}(r/2).C(n.r+\text{int}(r/2),\text{int}(r/2))),O(r.\text{int}(r/2).C(n-\text{int}(r/2),r.\text{int}(r/2)))\}$. This paper aims at building parallel algorithms based on the listing combinatorial algorithms in to improve computing performance for listing combinatorial algorithms of Cnr . In addition, to take more advantage of multi-core architecture of the parallel computing system, we build this algorithm on multiple processors. This is a completely new method not being announced in the world. The results of this paper are basically systematized and proven.

Keywords: Algorithm, combinatorial, generation, listing, parallel, subsets

Submitted: 20-12-2021, **Accepted:** 20-02-2022, **Published:** 30-03-2022

INTRODUCTION

Listing combinatorial problems are common problems in discrete maths and have been applied to solve real problems such as: Queens, Course Breakdown and others. However, when the input data is huge, the complexity is extremely large.^[1] It is, therefore, essential to propose algorithms with parallel algorithm to improve the complexity.

The author has conducted in-depth studies in combinatorial algorithms and had many articles related to this field published widely nationally and internationally. Permutation and parallelization are discussed in the work named the problem of permutation and parallelization,^[2] improved computing performance for listing combinatorial algorithms using multi-processing MPI and Thread library^[3] was also presented and published.

In the world, combinatorial algorithms have attracted attention of numerous researchers. The authors in^[4-11] discussed and proposed parallel listing combinatorial algorithms that

specifically develop a parallel listing combinatorial algorithm of set Cnr .

In paper^[1] is underpinned by generation algorithm to build new listing combinatorial algorithms by dividing a set into different subsets to find the combinatorial sequence.

This article has made some new contributions to listing combinatorial Algorithms by constructing listing combinatorial parallel algorithm; giving correct proof; demonstrating complexity and giving illustrative and comprehensive examples. This parallel algorithm develops from the algorithm published in.^[1]

LISTING COMBINATORIAL ALGORITHM OF CNR

How to Find the Next Combinatorial

Let $\alpha = \{s_1, s_2, s_r\}$, we find the next combinatorial $\beta = \{t_1, t_2, t_r\}$.

First of all, it can be observed that the i^{th} element in the combinatorial cannot exceed $n-r+i$ because $n-r+i$ is

Address for correspondence: Nguyen Dinh Lau, Department of Information Technology, University of Education and Science, University of Danang, Vietnam. E-mail: ndlau@ued.udn.vn

considered the maximum value of the i^{th} element. We find $m = \max \{i \mid s_i < n - r + i\}$. Then we have

1. $t_i = s_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$
2. $t_m = s_m + 1$
3. $t_m + i = s_m + i + 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-m$

Algorithm

- Input: r, n
- Output: a list of combinatorial of Cnr with increasing order.
- Steps:

```

Generation (int n, int r)
{
While (s[1]! = n-r+1)
    {
        printcombinatorial(r);
        cout<<endl;
        int m=0;
        for (int i=r; i>=1; i--)
            if(s[i]<n-r+i)
                {
                    m=i;
                    break;
                }
        s[m]=s[m]+1;
        for (int i=m+1; i<=r; i++)
            s[i]= s[i-1] +1;
    }
}
    
```

There is Cnrth loop of while (line 2), and There is rth loop of for (line 7). Thus we have complexity O(r. Cnr)

A New Approach to Construct Listing Combinatorial Algorithm of Cnr

It can be seen that the bigger the complexity O(r. Cnr) of the listing combinatorial algorithm of Cnr with gets, the more the n elements increase. Therefore, the author suggests constructing new listing combinatorial algorithm by finding every single subset. For each subset, A_i contains fixed first elements of combinatorial while unfixed last elements of combinatorial are in $R_i \subset X_i$ (R_i as set listing combinatorial Cmk), where $m = |X_i|$, $m < n$ and $k < r$. The A_i and X_i are defined in the following section.^[1]

The algorithm listing combinatorial of Cnr

Hence n=7, r=5 then Cnr=21

It is time to divide and allocate elements of combinatorial for A_i and X_i

Analysis 1

1. Let $X_1 = \{3,4,5,6,7\}$. Let A_1 with 2 first elements $\{1,2\} \cup R_1$ ($R_1 \subset X_1$, card (R_1)=3). R_1 as set listing combinatorial Cmk (m=5, k=3), Cmk=C53=10 with 10 combinatorial as in Table 1

Table 1: Listing combinatorial of C75 equa to A_iUR_i

No.	Combinatorial A_iUR_i	Data of $R_i \subset X_i$
1.	12U345	$R_1 \subset X_1 = \{3,4,5,6,7\}$, with m=5, k=3, C53=10
2.	12U346	
3.	12U347	
4.	12U356	
5.	12U357	
6.	12U367	
7.	12U456	
8.	12U457	
9.	12U467	
10.	12U567	
11.	13U456	$R_2 \subset X_2 = \{4,5,6,7\}$, with m=4, k=3, C43=4
12.	13U457	
13.	13U467	
14.	13U567	
15.	14U567	$R_3 \subset X_3 = \{5,6,7\}$, with m=3, k=3, C33=1
16.	23U456	$R_4 \subset X_4 = \{4,5,6,7\}$, with m=4, k=3, C43=4
17.	23U457	
18.	23U467	
19.	23U567	
20.	24U567	$R_5 \subset X_5 = \{5,6,7\}$, with m=3, k=3, C33=1
21.	34U567	$R_6 \subset X_6 = \{5,6,7\}$, with m=3, k=3, C33=1

2. Let $X_2 = (4,5,6,7)$. Let A_2 with 2 first elements $\{1,3\} \cup R_2$ ($R_2 \subset X_2$, card (R_2)=3). R_2 as set listing combinatorial Cmk (m=4, k=3), Cmk=C43=4 with 4 combinatorial as in Table 1
3. Let $X_3 = (5,6,7)$. Let A_3 with 2 first elements $\{1,4\} \cup R_3$ ($R_3 \subset X_3$, card (R_3)=3). R_3 as set listing combinatorial Cmk (m=3, k=3), Cmk=C33=1 with 1 combinatorial as in Table 1
4. Let $X_4 = (4,5,6,7)$. Let A_4 with 2 first elements $\{2,3\} \cup R_4$ ($R_4 \subset X_4$, card (R_4)=3). R_4 as set listing combinatorial Cmk (m=4, k=3), Cmk=C43=4 with 4 combinatorial as in Table:
5. Let $X_5 = (5,6,7)$. Let A_5 with 2 first elements $\{2,4\} \cup R_5$ ($R_5 \subset X_5$, card (R_5)=3). R_5 as set listing combinatorial Cmk (m=3, k=3), Cmk=C33=1 with 1 combinatorial as in Table 1
6. Let $X_6 = (5,6,7)$. Let A_6 with 2 first elements $\{3,4\} \cup R_6$ ($R_6 \subset X_6$, card (R_6)=3). R_6 as set listing combinatorial Cmk (m=3, k=3), Cmk=C33=1 with 1 combinatorial as in Table 1.

Analysis 2

Subset $A_i (i=1, 2, \dots, 6) \subset B = \{1,2,3,4\}$. $|A_i|=2$

Analysis 3

Subset general A can be identified as follows:

Let $n, r, A_i (i=1,2, \dots, C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2))) \subset B = \{1,2, \dots, n-r+\text{int}(r/2)\}$. With $|A_i|=\text{int}(r/2)$, $|B|=n-r+\text{int}(r/2)$.

Analysis 4

Suppose that $A_i[\text{int}(r/2)]$ is the value of the last element of A_i .
When $n=7$ and $r = 5$, we have:

- $A_1[\text{int}(r/2)] = A_1[2] = 2$
- $A_2[\text{int}(r/2)] = A_2[2] = 3$
- $A_3[\text{int}(r/2)] = A_3[2] = 4$
- $A_4[\text{int}(r/2)] = A_4[2] = 3$
- $A_5[\text{int}(r/2)] = A_5[2] = 4$
- $A_6[\text{int}(r/2)] = A_6[2] = 4$

Analysis 5:

X_i can be identified from A_i as follows:

$X_i = \{A_i[\text{int}(r/2)]+1, A_i[\text{int}(r/2)]+2, \dots, n\}$

Theorem 1: $|A_i \cup R_i| = r$, with $|R_i| = r - \text{int}(r/2)$, $R_i \subset X_i$ ^[1]

Analysis 6:

The example shows that the number of combinatorial is the times to get three elements in $X_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, 6)$. It means that the number of combinatorial = 6

$$C53+C43+C33+C43+C33+C33=10+4+1+4+1+1=21=C75.$$

In general, we have the number of combinatorial as follows:

$$[C(n - \text{int}(r/2), r - \text{int}(r/2)) + 2 \cdot C(n - \text{int}(r/2) - 1, r - \text{int}(r/2)) + 3 \cdot C(n - \text{int}(r/2) - 2, r - \text{int}(r/2)) + \dots + r - \text{int}(r/2) C(n - \text{int}(r/2) - n + r, r - \text{int}(r/2))] + [C(n - \text{int}(r/2) - 1, r - \text{int}(r/2)) + 2 C(n - \text{int}(r/2) - 2, r - \text{int}(r/2)) + \dots + r - \text{int}(r/2) C(n - \text{int}(r/2) - n + r, r - \text{int}(r/2))] + \dots + [C(n - \text{int}(r/2) - n + r, r - \text{int}(r/2))]$$

Similarly, the number of new Approach listing combinatorial equa to Cnr.

Hence there are two main steps to construct new listing combinatorial algorithm:

1. Initialize subset A_i . $|A_i| = \text{int}(r/2)$, $A_i \subset B = \{1, 2, \dots, n-r+\text{int}(r/2)\}$, $|B|=n-r+\text{int}(r/2)$.
 $i=1, 2, 3, \dots, C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2))$.
2. For A_i : Print $A_i \cup R_i$ with $|R_i| = r - \text{int}(r/2)$, $R_i \subset X_i$

Constructing new listing combinatorial algorithm

Input: n, r

Output: Print all listing combinatorial of Cnr

1. Find A_i : Let A_i listing combinatorial $C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2))$
2. Find X_i :
for $A_i (i=1,2, \dots, C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2)))$.
Initialize: $X_i = \{A_i[\text{int}(r/2)]+1, A_i[\text{int}(r/2)]+2, \dots, n\}$
3. for $A_i (i=1,2,3, \dots, C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2)))$.

Print all listing combinatorial $A_i \cup R_i$ with $|R_i| = r - \text{int}(r/2)$, $R_i \subset X_i$ (R_i as set listing combinatorial Cmk, $m=|X_i|$, $k=r-|A_i|$)

Theorem 2: $\text{Max}(|X_i|) = |X_i|$

Proof: See^[1]

Theorem 3: The complexity

$$\text{Max} \{O(\text{int}(r/2) \cdot C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2))), O(r - \text{int}(r/2) \cdot C(n - \text{int}(r/2), r - \text{int}(r/2)))\} < O(r \cdot C(n, r))$$

The complexity of the new listing combinatorial algorithm is smaller than the complexity of the previous combinatorial algorithm.^[1]

PARALLEL ALGORITHM FOR LISTING COMBINATORIAL OF CNR

Ideas of Parallel Algorithm

It can be seen that the bigger the complexity $\text{Max}\{O(\text{int}(r/2) \cdot C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2))), O(r - \text{int}(r/2) \cdot C(n - \text{int}(r/2), r - \text{int}(r/2)))\} < O(r \cdot C(n, r))$ of new approach the listing combinatorial algorithm of Cnr with gets, the more the n elements increase.

Sequential algorithms might take a long time to process if n length is large. Therefore, it is necessary to build parallel algorithms to improve computing performance for the algorithms.

In sequential algorithms, the author suggests constructing new listing combinatorial algorithm by finding every single subset. For each subset, A_i contains fixed first elements of combinatorial while unfixed last elements of combinatorial are in $R_i \subset X_i$ (R_i as set listing combinatorial Cmk), where $m = |X_i|$, $m < n$ and $k < r$. The A_i and X_i are defined in the section 2.3.

This study builds up parallel algorithms to improve computing performance for listing combinatorial algorithms. To parallel execution, author divide the data set input and allocate them to the processors. The article focuses on (i) the analysis of the input data structure to divide data for the sub processors, and (ii) the construction of parallel algorithms - proof of correctness and analysis of computing complexity. Then the comparison of the results of the parallel algorithm with the sequential algorithm and the comparison of the execution time on different sub processors is discussed.

Parallel Algorithm

This newly-built parallel algorithms use h processors (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_h). The processors P_i receives the output value which is the input value of A_i and X_i as in Table 2.

Parallel algorithm finding combinatorial of n elements

Input: n, r, h processors (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_h)

Out: Listing combinatorial

Steps:

Table 2: Listing combinatorial of $C75$ equa to $A_i \cup R_i \subset X_i$ and divide the data set input to the processors P_i

No.	Data set input to P_i	Combinatorial $A_i \cup R_i$	Data of $R_i \subset X_i$		
1.	P_1 : receive input is A_1 and X_1	12U345	$R_1 \subset X_1 = \{3,4,5,6,7\}$, with $m=5, k=3, C53=10$		
2.		12U346			
3.		12U347			
4.		12U356			
5.		12U357			
6.		12U367			
7.		12U456			
8.		12U457			
9.		12U467			
10.		12U567			
11.	P_2 : receive input is A_2 and X_2	13U456	$R_2 \subset X_2 = \{4,5,6,7\}$, with $m=4, k=3, C43=4$		
12.		13U457			
13.		13U467			
14.		13U567			
15.	P_3 : receive input is A_3 and X_3	14U567	$R_3 \subset X_3 = \{5,6,7\}$, with $m=3, k=3, C33=1$		
16.		P_4 : receive input is A_4 and X_4		23U456	$R_4 \subset X_4 = \{4,5,6,7\}$, with $m=4, k=3, C43=4$
17.		23U457			
18.	23U467				
19.		23U567			
20.	P_5 : receive input is A_5 and X_5	24U567	$R_5 \subset X_5 = \{5,6,7\}$, with $m=3, k=3, C33=1$		
21.		P_6 : receive input is A_6 and X_6		34U567	$R_6 \subset X_6 = \{5,6,7\}$, with $m=3, k=3, C33=1$

- Let A_i listing combinatorial $C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2))$
- for A_i ($i=1,2,3,\dots, C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2))$).
Initialize: $X_i = \{A_i[\text{int}(r/2)]+1, A_i[\text{int}(r/2)]+2, \dots, n\}$
- Divide the data set and allocate them to h processors (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_h)
Processor P_1 receive A_1 and X_1
Processor P_2 receive A_2 and X_2
and processor P_i receive A_i and X_i .
- Processors (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_i) perform concurrently
 - P_i Find listing combinatorial R_i with $|R_i| = r - \text{int}(r/2), R_i \subset X_i$ (R_i as set listing combinatorial $Cmk, m=|X_i|, k=r-|A_i|$)
 - P_i Print all listing combinatorial $A_i \cup R_i$.

Theorem 4: The parallel algorithm is true.

Proof: This parallel algorithm develops from the algorithm published in.^[1] In theorem 1, theorem 2, theorem 3, the author proved the sequential algorithm is true. The perform of the

parallel algorithm is to divide the data sets A_i and X_i for P_i . Processors P_i receive A_i and X_i and find listing combinatorial R_i next print all listing combinatorial $A_i \cup R_i$. This is consistent with the perform of the sequential algorithm.

Theorem 5: The complexity of parallel algorithm is $O(\text{int}(r/2).C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2)))$ OR $O(r-\text{int}(r/2).C(n-\text{int}(r/2), r-\text{int}(r/2)))$ perform in processor P_1

Proof: The complexity of the sequential algorithm $\text{Max}\{O(\text{int}(r/2).C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2))), O(r-\text{int}(r/2).C(n-\text{int}(r/2), r-\text{int}(r/2)))\}$

Indeed, Initialize A_i including combinatorial $C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2))$ then the complexity is $O(\text{int}(r/2).C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2)))$

According to theorem 2, $\text{Max}(|X_i|) = |X_i|$ hence the combinatorial $r-\text{int}(r/2)$ from X_i is the maximum. We also have $X_i = \{A_i[\text{int}(r/2)]+1, A_i[\text{int}(r/2)]+2, \dots, n\} = \{\text{int}(r/2)+1, \text{int}(r/2)+2, \dots, n\}$ then the number of elements of X_i is $|X_i| = n - (\text{int}(r/2)+1) + 1 = n - \text{int}(r/2)$. It can be deduced that the complexity to identify combinatorial $r-\text{int}(r/2)$ from X_i is $O(r-\text{int}(r/2).C(n-\text{int}(r/2), r-\text{int}(r/2)))$.

Finally we have the complexity $\text{Max}\{O(\text{int}(r/2).C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2))), O(r-\text{int}(r/2).C(n-\text{int}(r/2), r-\text{int}(r/2)))\}$

Let h processors (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_i).

Case 1

If $O(\text{int}(r/2).C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2))) > O(r-\text{int}(r/2).C(n-\text{int}(r/2), r-\text{int}(r/2)))$

Then the complexity of the listing combinatorial sequential algorithm is:

$O(\text{int}(r/2).C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2)))$. Therefore, the complexity of the listing combinatorial parallel algorithm is:

$O(\text{int}(r/2).C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2)))$ perform in processor P_1 .

Case 2

If $O(\text{int}(r/2).C(n-r+\text{int}(r/2), \text{int}(r/2))) < O(r-\text{int}(r/2).C(n-\text{int}(r/2), r-\text{int}(r/2)))$

Then the complexity of the listing combinatorial sequential algorithm is:

$O(r-\text{int}(r/2).C(n-\text{int}(r/2), r-\text{int}(r/2)))$. Therefore, the complexity of the listing combinatorial parallel algorithm is: $O(r-\text{int}(r/2).C(n-\text{int}(r/2), r-\text{int}(r/2)))$ perform in processor P_1 .

In both cases the complexity of the listing combinatorial parallel algorithm is smaller than the complexity of the previous combinatorial algorithm is $O(n. Cnr)$.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, the author has built a listing combinatorial parallel algorithm of Cnr based on the listing combinatorial sequential algorithms in. In particular, the author also analyzed

the algorithm logically and also proved 2 theorems (theorem 4 and theorem 5) related to the parallel algorithm.

Last but not least, the paper proves that the complexity of the listing combinatorial parallel algorithm is smaller than that of existing combinatorial sequential algorithm in both cases and perform in processor P_1 .

REFERENCES

1. Lau ND. A new approach to listing combinatorial algorithm of CNR. *Aust J Sci Technol* 2021;5:539-43.
2. Lau ND. *Parallel Algorithm List Permutations*. Quy Nhon, Binh Dinh, Vietnam: Science and Technics publisher; 2017. p. 348-53.
3. Lau ND. Improved computing performance for listing combinatorial algorithms using multi-processing MPI and thread library. *Int J Comput Sci Inform Technol* 2018;10:16.
4. Stojmenovic I. Listing combinatorial objects in parallel. *Int J Parallel Emerg Distrib Syst* 2006;21:127-46.
5. Akl SG, Gries D, Stojmenovic I. An optimal parallel algorithm for generating combinations. *Inform Proc Lett* 1989;33:135-9.
6. Akl SG, Stojmenovic I. Parallel algorithms for generating integer partitions and compositions. *J Comb Math Comb Comput* 1983;13:107-20.
7. Chen GH, Chern MS. Parallel generation of permutations and combinations. *BIT Numer Math* 1986;26:277-83.
8. Djokic B, Miyakawa M, Sekiguchi S, Semba I, Stojmenovic I. Parallel algorithms for generating subsets and set partitions. In: Asano T, Ibaraki T, Imai H, Nishizeki T, editors. Vol. 450. *Proceedings of SIGAL International Symposium on Algorithms*. Tokyo, Japan: Lecture Notes in Computer Science; 1990. p. 76-85.
9. Elhage H, Stojmenovic I. Systolic generation of combinations from arbitrary elements. *Parallel Proc Lett* 1992;2:241-8.
10. Kapralski A. New methods for the generation of permutations, combinations, and other combinatorial objects in parallel. *J Parallel Distrib Comput* 1993;17:315-26.
11. Torres M, Barrera J. A parallel algorithm for finding small sets of genes that are enough to distinguish two biological states. *Genet Mol Biol* 2004;27:686-90.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International License.